

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7, 901 N. 5th ST., KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

03 AUG -6 PM 1:38

Facility Name: Gregory Oil Co.

Location: P.O. Box 26, Kimball, Nebraska 69145

Owner/Operator: Jerry Gregory

(Respondent)

On July 31, 2002, an authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form (Form). Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the violations identified in the Form.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and 40 CFR §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b), published at 64 Fed. Reg. 40137 on July 23, 1999. The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$300.00. This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 CFR Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 CFR Part 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$300.00 payable to the "Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund," to: "Regional Hearing Clerk, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101". Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check "EPA" and the docket number of this case. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY-REGION VII REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

DOCKET NO: CWA-07-2003-0114

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

APPROVED BY EPA:

Chief, Emergency Planning & Response Branch, Superfund.

Division

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print):

Title (print):

Signature:

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Robert L. Patrick

Regional Judicial Officer

INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)
These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990

Docket Number: CWA									STA.					
7	-	2	0	0	3	-	0	1	1	T	4			
Ins	pect	ion I	Date					•				4 6 7 7	7	777 88 TO 88
July 31, 2002								THE THE PARTY OF T						
Ins	pect	ion l	Num	ber		•		,					* 1	-KOIL-
F	Y	-	1	N	s	P	-	0	2	Ţ.	-	0]
Ins	pect	or's	Nan	ie:					•					•
Ed	win :	McC	ass	on		-			-	•				
Zip Code: EPA Approving Official:														
Sci	ott H	ayes	;								-			
En	force	emer	at Co	ntac	cts:									
				-			•	, (c)	, (d)				
				_	· 							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
														1,000.00
														300.00
														100.00
														100.00
														50.00
l a ch: lischa	ange rge	e in pote	: de enti	sign al	n, c	ons	truc	tion	1, 0	pei	rati	on,		50.00
														100.00
														100.00
														100.00
_					-							<i>.</i> .		100.00
				• • •										* * * * * * *
	Ins Ins Ins Ins Ins Ed EP Sco En Boal Boal Ali ary ON FA d), (e) 0000.00 asure cy is r owned a ch ischa al eng ilure cont/div	Inspect Inspect Inspect Inspect F Y Inspect Edwin EPA A Scott H Enforce Bob W Alan H eary of ON FACII d), (e); 11: 000.00 enter asure Pla y is many owner/op la change ischarge al engineer idure which ent/divers onary struct	Inspection I Inspection I Inspection I Inspection I F Y - Inspector's Edwin McC EPA Appro Scott Hayes Enforcement Bob Webber Alan Hance Alan Hance I ary of Fin ON FACILITI d), (e); 112.5(2000.00 enter on asure Plan Ty is manned owner/operal a change in ischarge potential engineer illure which cont/diversional onary structure	Inspection Date July 31 Inspection Num F Y - I Inspector's Nam Edwin McGlass EPA Approving Scott Hayes Enforcement Co Bob Webber Alan Hancock TACILITIES (1) (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (5) (6) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	Inspection Date July 31, 20 Inspection Number F Y - I N Inspector's Name: Edwin McGlasson EPA Approving Off Scott Hayes Enforcement Contact Bob Webber Alan Hancock Plary of Findings ON FACILITIES (ON d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c) 000.00 enter only the management of the ma	Inspection Date July 31, 2002 Inspection Number F Y - I N S Inspector's Name: Edwin McGlasson EPA Approving Official Scott Hayes Enforcement Contacts: Bob Webber Phone Alan Hancock Phone Phone I Contacts: Bob Webber Phone Phone I Contacts: Bob Webber Phone Phone I Contacts: Bob Webber Phone I Contacts: Bob Webber Phone I Contacts: July 31, 2002 Inspection Number Edwin McGlasson EPA Approving Official Scott Hayes Enforcement Contacts: Bob Webber Phone Phone I Contacts: Bob Webber Phone I Con	Inspection Date July 31, 2002 Inspection Number F Y - I N S P Inspector's Name: Edwin McGlasson EPA Approving Official: Scott Hayes Enforcement Contacts: Bob Webber Alan Hancock Phone Number	Inspection Date July 31, 2002 Inspection Number F Y - I N S P - Inspector's Name: Edwin McGlasson EPA Approving Official: Scott Hayes Enforcement Contacts: Bob Webber Phone Number Phone Numbe	Inspection Date July 31, 2002 Inspection Number FY-INS P-0 Inspector's Name: Edwin McGlasson EPA Approving Official: Scott Hayes Enforcement Contacts: Bob Webber Phone Number: Alan Hancock Phone Number	Inspection Date July 31, 2002 Inspection Number F Y - I N S P - 6 2 Inspector's Name: Edwin McGlasson EPA Approving Official: Scott Hayes Enforcement Contacts: Bob Webber Phone Number: (91: Alan Hancock Phone Number: (91: 4.2.7) Ary of Findings ON FACILITIES (ONSHORE) d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d)	Inspection Date July 31, 2002 Inspection Number F Y - I N S P - 0 2 Inspector's Name: Edwin McGlasson EPA Approving Official: Scott Hayes Enforcement Contacts: Bob Webber Phone Number: (913)5: Alan Hancock Phone Number: (913)5: Cary of Findings ON FACILITIES (ONSHORE) d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) 2000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$ asure Plan Ty is manned at least eight (8) hours per owner/operator I a change in: design, construction, openischarge potential all engineer illure which could result in discharges and diversionary structures/equipment and onary structures is impractical	Inspection Date July 31, 2002 Inspection Number FY - INSPECTOR Name: Edwin McGlasson EPA Approving Official: Scott Hayes Enforcement Contacts: Bob Webber Phone Number: (913)551-7 Alan Hancock Phone Number: (913)551-7 Cary of Findings ON FACILITIES (ONSHORE) d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) 1000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,0000 asure Plan Ty is manned at least eight (8) hours per day owner/operator I a change in: design, construction, operation is charge potential all engineer illure which could result in discharges ont/diversionary structures/equipment onary structures is impractical	Inspection Date July 31, 2002 Inspection Number F Y - I N S P - 0 2 - 0 Inspector's Name: Edwin McGlasson EPA Approving Official: Scott Hayes Enforcement Contacts: Bob Webber Phone Number: (913)551-7251 Alan Hancock Phone Number: (913)551-7647 ary of Findings ON FACILITIES (ONSHORE) d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) 2000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00 asure Plan y is manned at least eight (8) hours per day) owner/operator a change in: design, construction, operation, ischarge potential al engineer illure which could result in discharges ent/diversionary structures/equipment omary structures is impractical	Inspection Date July 31, 2002 Inspection Number F Y - I N S P - 0 2 - 0 Inspector's Name: Edwin McGlasson EPA Approving Official: Scott Hayes Enforcement Contacts: Bob Webber Phone Number: (913)551-7251 Alan Hancock Phone Number: (913)551-7647 Cary of Findings ON FACILITIES (ONSHORE) d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) 2000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00 dollar asure Plan Ty is manned at least eight (8) hours per day) owner/operator I a change in: design, construction, operation, ischarge potential all engineer illure which could result in discharges ent/diversionary structures/equipment

Written Procedures and Inspection Records 112.7(e)(8)

	Inspections required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility	50.00
	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not signed by facility supervisor	50.00
Ų	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not made part of the plan	50.00
X	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not maintained for three years	50.00
	Personnel Training and Spill Prevention Procedures 112.7(e)(10)	
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges	50.00
X	No training on the applicable laws, rules, and regulations	50.00
	No designated person responsible for spill prevention	50.00
	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted periodically	50.00
Ч	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel training and spill prevention procedures	50.00
	Oil Production Facilities, Onshore 112.7(e)(5)	
37		
X	Accumulated oil in the secondary containment system(s) is not picked up and returned to storage or properly disposed of in accordance with the regulations	200.00
U	Field drainage ditches, road ditches, and oil traps, sumps, or skimmers are not regularly inspected for oil, and/or oil is not removed	200.00
	Drainage from diked areas:	
	Drains for the secondary containment systems at the tank battery(ies) and central treatment stations are not closed and sealed at all times except when rainwater is being drained.	
	being drained	300.00
	Prior to drainage, rainwater runoff is not inspected to ensure compliance with water	
	quanty standards and could cause a narmful discharge	300.00
	quality standards and could cause a harmful discharge Bypass valve is not opened and resealed under responsible supervision	300.00 100.00
	Bypass valve is not opened and resealed under responsible supervision Adequate records of drainage events are not maintained	300.00 100.00 50.00
	Bypass valve is not opened and resealed under responsible supervision	100.00
	Bypass valve is not opened and resealed under responsible supervision Adequate records of drainage events are not maintained For Aboveground Storage Tanks: Tank material and construction are not compatible with the oil stored and the	100.00
	Bypass valve is not opened and resealed under responsible supervision Adequate records of drainage events are not maintained For Aboveground Storage Tanks: Tank material and construction are not compatible with the oil stored and the conditions of storage Secondary containment appears to be grossly inadequate for tanks and central treating	100.00 50.00
	Bypass valve is not opened and resealed under responsible supervision Adequate records of drainage events are not maintained For Aboveground Storage Tanks: Tank material and construction are not compatible with the oil stored and the conditions of storage Secondary containment appears to be grossly inadequate for tanks and central treating installations	100.00 50.00 300.00 500.00
	Bypass valve is not opened and resealed under responsible supervision Adequate records of drainage events are not maintained For Aboveground Storage Tanks: Tank material and construction are not compatible with the oil stored and the conditions of storage Secondary containment appears to be grossly inadequate for tanks and central treating	100.00 50.00 300.00

	Drainage from non-diked areas does not flow to a catchment basin or holding pond	500.00
	Visual tank inspections are not conducted periodically by appropriate personnel and/or inspections do not include tank foundation and supports	300.00
j	Tank battery installations are not "fail-safe" engineered because <u>none</u> of the following are present	300.00
	 Adequate tank capacity to prevent tank overfill; Overflow equalizing lines between the tanks; Vacuum protection to prevent tank collapse; High level alarms to generate and transmit an alarm signal where facilities are part of a computer control system. 	
	Facility Transfer Operations	
]	Above ground valves and pipelines are not examined periodically on a scheduled basis for general condition (includes items, such as: flange joints, valve glands and bodies, drip pans, pipeline supports, bleeder and gauge valves, polish rods/stuffing box.)	300.00
]	Brine disposal facilities are not examined often	300.00
1	Inadequate or no flowline maintenance program (includes: examination, corrosion	
_	protection and, flowline replacement)	300.00

Additional violations which must be corrected:

- 1. A detailed discussion of Loading/Unloading operations needs to be included in the SPCC plan;
- 2. Loading/Unloading operations needs to include a system to prevent departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines.

In addition, there was no containment for the loading/unloading area of the facility as required by 40 CFR, Part 112.7 (e)(4). If a spill to a waterway were to occur from the truck during loading/unloading, the owner/operator could be held responsible for the cleanup and for any penalties assessed as a result of the spill and/or lack of containment.

TOTAL

\$300.00

IN THE MATTER OF Gregory Oil Co., Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2003-0114

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Expedited SPCC Settlement Agreement was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy hand delivered to Attorney for Complainant:

Kristina Kemp Assistant Regional Counsel Region VII United States Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Copy by Certified Mail Return Receipt to:

Jerry Grogory Gregory Oil Co. P.O. Box 26 Kimball, Nebraska 69145

Copy by First Class Mail to:

US. Coast Guard Finance Center (OGR) 1430A Kristina Way Chesapeake, VA 23326

Dated: 7/103

Kathy Robinson

Regional Hearing Clerk